

APPLICATION NO.

10/700,400

7590

FOLEY HOAG, LLP

155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110

25181

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/04/2003 Peter A. Quigley FPY-048.04 5827 12/06/2005 **EXAMINER** COLE, ELIZABETH M PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

> 1771 DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.                                 | Applicant(s)                      |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/700,400                                      | QUIGLEY ET AL.                    |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit                          |
|  | Elizabeth M. Cole                               | 1771                              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                                   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                   |
| Status   |   |                                   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | <b>_</b> •                                      |                                   |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This   | action is non-final.                            |                                   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |                                   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                                   |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                                   |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                                   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                                   |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are allowed.   |   |                                   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-62</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                                   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                                   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                                   |
| Application Papers   |   |                                   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                   |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                                   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                                   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                                   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                                   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |                                   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                                   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                                   |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                                   |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                                   |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                                   |
|  |   |                                   |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                                   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary                            |                                   |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal Pa | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:                                       | ,                                 |



Application/Control Number: 10/700,400 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 50-62 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 65-87 of copending Application No. 10/134,971 in view of Charboneau, U.S. Patent No. 10/134,972. US '971 discloses an internal lining tube comprising a substantially fluid impervious inner layer, a permeation barrier and a composite layer which is exterior to the internal liner layer. US '971 differs from the claimed invention because US '971 does not teach incorporating sensors into the lining. Charboneau teaches incorporating sensors into pipe linings as set forth above in order to monitor the pipeline for possible problems and enhance the safety of the pipeline. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a sensor into the pipe lining of '971 in order to enable the monitoring of the pipeline and detect potential problems in order to make the pipe lining of '971 more safe.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection should be withdrawn since claim 65 is directed to a liner that has both an inner layer and permeation barrier while the instant claims do not require a permeation barrier. However, the composite material which makes up the composite layer corresponds to the claimed permeation barrier. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.
- 3. Claims 1-49 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,400 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole

2 Nelso

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER